

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMATIKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/823,283	03/29/2001	Richard B. Greenwald	213.1116-U	6788
75	90 05/02/2002			
ROBERTS & MERCANTI, L.L.P. EDC II Suite 203			EXAMINER	
			RILEY, JEZIA	
105 Lock Street Newark, NJ 07			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2002	ک

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. ` . '		09/823,283	GREENWALD ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jezia Riley	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	luno 2001					
1)⊡ 2a)⊟		is action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> —	,		rosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims						
4)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-21 and 23-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊡ Claim(s) <u>16 and 22</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
- •	·	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO 152) stion .				
S Patent and T	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 1637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The art unit for this application has changed. Applicant is informed, that any future response should be directed to Art Unit 1637.

## Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because: The abstract comprises more than 1 paragraph and more 150 words.
  - (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1637

Claims 1-31 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 09/823,296. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are claiming common embodiments but not of the same scope.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-15, 17-20, 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen et al. (US 5,620,689).

Allen et al. discloses a method of treating a subject having a disorder characterized by a neoplasm of B-lymphocyte or T-lymphocyte lineage. The method includes administering a suspension of liposomes having a surface coating of polyethylene glycol chains. Attached to the distal ends of the chains are antibodies or antibody fragments effective to bind to an antigen specific to the affected cells. In one embodiment, anti-CD19 antibodies are attached to the liposome-bound chains, for treatment of multiple myeloma. FIGS. 2A-2B show steps of one synthetic approach for

Art Unit: 1637

forming a maleimide of a DSPE carbamide of polyethylene glycol his (amine) which can be used for further coupling to an antibody or antibody fragment. The figures 2A-4 are viewed to be inclusive of formula (I), instant claims 4-14 where the integers are defined.

The liposome includes an antibody or an antibody fragment, such as antibody 16, which is bound to the outer liposome surface by covalent attachment to hydrophilic polymer chains, such as chains 20, which are also carried on the liposome's outer surface. The polymer chains form a polymer layer about the liposome surface which allows the liposomes to circulate in the bloodstream over an extended period of time compared to liposomes lacking the polymer coating. The antigen recognition region, such as antigen recognition region 22, of the antibody molecule is accessible for binding to antigens at a target site. The polymer coating on the liposome surface does not affect antigen-antibody interactions. Antibody molecules suitable for use in the invention, and methods of their attachment to the liposome are described. The polymer chains are preferably polyethylene glycol (PEG) chains having molecular weights between about 500 and 10,000 daltons, corresponding to polymer chain lengths of about 22 to 220 ethylene oxide units. Preferably the PEG molecular weight is between 500-5,000 daltons, most preferably between 500-2,000 daltons. The polymer chains are covalently attached to the polar head groups of vesicle-forming lipids. The polymer chain is attached to the liposome through the polar head group of a lipid, such as lipid 18, in the outer layer 10 of the liposome bilayer. The chain contains a reactive functionalized group at its free end for coupling to the antibody.

Art Unit: 1637

- 6. Claims 16 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 703-305-6855. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Monica Graves whose telephone number is 703-305-3002 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

April 30, 2002

JEZIA RILEY PRIMARY EXAMINER